Glaucoma surgery heading toward a less invasive, more effective approach

For years trabeculectomy has remained the gold standard for surgical management of glaucoma. Although a few variations in the technique and in the use of antimetabolites have occurred over the years, the procedure has remained substantially the same since its introduction in the late 1970s.

"If we compare it with the techniques that are used in other branches of ophthalmology, like cataract or vitreoretinal surgery, where revolutionary changes have dramatically improved results and minimized complications, we can’t avoid feeling some degree of frustration," Roberto Carassa, MD, director of the Italian Glaucoma Center in Milan, said.

Trabeculectomy has a congenital defect, Dr. Carassa said. It does not get to the root of the problem but “makes a hole in the eye” through which the aqueous humor can flow. The principle works, but bleb failure — due to fibrosis and encapsulation — and bleb-related risks such as leaks and endophthalmitis make results unpredictable and dependent on the individual response of each eye.

Recently, there has been an increased interest in the development of novel approaches.

Nonpenetrating procedures are now well-established in the armamentarium of glaucoma surgery. If some doubts remain about their efficacy compared with trabeculectomy, this is because published results are dishomogeneous.

In a 1999 Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery editorial, “Nonpenetrating trabecular surgery: It’s worth the change,” Phillip Sourdille, MD, a pioneer of nonpenetrating surgery and the inventor of the SK Gel (Corneal) resorbable crosslinked hyaluronic acid implant, highlighted this change from penetrating to nonpenetrating as a demanding step.

"I share with Robert Stegmann, MD, the concept of surgeon-related results. However, the lower rates of complications and new technical options with lasers for the pre-Descemetic approach are positive arguments in favor of nonpenetrating surgery today," Dr. Sourdille said.

Drainage devices such as the Molteno implant (Molteno Ophthalmic), the Baerveldt glaucoma shunt (Abbott Medical Optics) and the Ahmed glaucoma valve (New World Medical), also in use for many years, work by bypassing the trabecular meshwork and redirecting the aqueous humor into a subconjunctival bleb. They were intended for high-risk eyes in which standard trabeculectomy was expected to fail.

"Some of them are intended for high-risk eyes in which standard trabeculectomy was expected to fail. However, the lower rates of complications and new technical options with lasers for the pre-Descemetic approach are positive arguments in favor of nonpenetrating surgery today," Dr. Sourdille said.

Recently, there has been an increased interest in the development of novel approaches.

"Some of them are intended for high-risk eyes in which standard trabeculectomy was expected to fail. However, the lower rates of complications and new technical options with lasers for the pre-Descemetic approach are positive arguments in favor of nonpenetrating surgery today," Dr. Sourdille said.

iTrack microcatheter

Canaloplasty is, conceptually, a new development of viscosocanulostomy. Via a scleral flap, a special cannula with a lighted tip, the iTrack microcatheter (iScience Interventional), is inserted through the entire circumference of Schlemm’s canal and used to inject viscoelastic. While the catheter is slowly withdrawn, the substance is released sequentially, filling — and therefore dilating — the entire 360° length of the canal. The iTrack is used at the same time as a guide for placing an intracanulicular suture to stretch and hold open Schlemm’s canal.

According to Manfred Tetz, MD, director of the Spreebogen private surgical center in Berlin, canaloplasty is "extended Schlemm’s canal.
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The iStent trabecular micro-bypass implant (Glaukos) offers potential benefits similar to those of trabeculectomy. It lowers IOP without the formation of a filtering bleb and, therefore, without affecting the conjunctiva. It is delivered ab interno through a clear corneal incision, advanced through the trabecular meshwork and implanted through a pre-loaded applicator into Schlemm’s canal.

"It is minimally invasive surgery via a clear corneal wound, and no external bleb is created. Consequently, the conjunctiva is not manipulated, it does not preclude subsequent procedures."

Ex-PRESS mini shunt

According to a number of glaucoma specialists, a new era of glaucoma surgery has been heralded by the introduction of the latest-generation drainage devices.

The Ex-PRESS miniature glaucoma shunt (Optionol) measures less than 3 mm in length and 0.4 mm in diameter and is made of a highly compatible material, the same used worldwide for cardiac stents.

"Surgery is also different and can be defined as minimally penetrating because no tissue excision or removal is required. The advantage over classic nonpenetrating surgical procedures, however, is the shorter learning curve. It can be implanted by both a glaucoma specialist and a general ophthalmologist," said Elie Dahan, MD, senior glaucoma consultant at Ein Tzfat Eye Center in Tel Aviv.

For all of these reasons, the Ex-PRESS has a wider range of indications than classic filtering surgery and can be offered as an option also in the earlier stages of the disease, if not as a first-line treatment."

"We all know the advantages of doing surgery on eyes that have never been exposed to medical treatment," Dr. Dahan said.

To date, more than 35,000 Ex-PRESS shunts have been implanted worldwide, with good results and a low rate of complications. Implantation under a scleral rather than a conjunctival flap is now the only recommended technique because it is safer and more effective. Mitomycin C is used at the time of implantation.

In a prospective, randomized study (15 patients, 30 eyes), Dr. Dahan compared the results of trabeculectomy and Ex-PRESS implantation in fellow eyes of the same patient during a 30-month period. Although the mean IOP reduction was similar in both groups at all time points, at 2 years, the complete success rate (IOP less than 18 mm Hg without medications) was higher in the Ex-PRESS group (90%) compared with the trabeculectomy group (60%).

"What’s astonishing is the difference in the rate of postoperative complications, which was only 7% in the Ex-PRESS group compared to 40% in the trabeculectomy group," he said.

Similarly, 33% of the trabeculectomy eyes needed postoperative interventions compared with 0% in the Ex-PRESS eyes.

The 3-year results of a large series of 231 eyes treated with Ex-PRESS implantation alone and 114 eyes treated with Ex-PRESS implant combined with phacoemulsification were recently analyzed by Peter Netland, MD, and colleagues. Surgical success was about 95% in both groups. Compared with baseline values, postoperative IOP and number of glaucoma medications were significantly lowered in both groups. Interestingly, the change from baseline IOP was significantly greater after Ex-PRESS implantation alone compared with combined surgery.

"The most common but rare device-related complication was obstruction of the tube in six eyes, and it was treated successfully with Nd:YAG laser."
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The iStent trabecular micro-bypass implant

The iStent trabecular micro-bypass implant (Glaukos) offers potential benefits similar to those of trabeculectomy. It lowers IOP without the formation of a filtering bleb and, therefore, without affecting the conjunctiva. It is delivered ab interno through a clear corneal incision, advanced through the trabecular meshwork and implanted through a pre-loaded applicator into Schlemm’s canal.

"Since 50% to 90% of resistance to aqueous outflow is in the trabecular meshwork, it makes a lot of sense to have a bypass device that creates a pathway for the aqueous to drain directly from the anterior chamber to the Schlemm’s canal," said K. Ahmed, MD, FRCS, assistant professor at Toronto University, Canada.

The first studies were carried out in Europe, basically looking at the results of one stent implantation in combination with cataract surgery. A 22% mean IOP reduction was obtained, and the mean number of medications dropped from 1.7 to 0.5 per eye.

Dr. Ahmed and colleagues found that better results can be obtained with more than one stent because multiple bypasses further reduce outflow resistance.
“In a consecutive series of 25 patients with [primary open-angle glaucoma] or [pseudophakic glaucoma], we evaluated the results of the implantation of two or three eye stents in combination with cataract surgery. The follow-up ranged from 6 months to 1 year,” he said.

Mean IOP decreased from 20.5 mm Hg preoperatively to 14.2 mm Hg with two stents and to 12.7 mm Hg with three stents. Three stents appear to be more effective also in reducing medication use, which dropped from three to 1.5 with two stents and to zero with three stents. Results remain stable beyond the 1-year endpoint of the study.

The biggest advantage compared with trabeculectomy is the near absence of any serious sight-threatening complication, with results that are reasonable in terms of efficacy, Dr. Ahmed said.

“We are trying to overcome the biggest drawback of non-traditional surgery, which is the limitation in IOP-lowering capability. This study shows that we may be able to obtain results beyond our own expectations with trabecular bypass surgery,” he said. “In addition, we are able to titrate the number of stents required for a given patient, from one to three or, theoretically, even more, depending on the target pressure and disease severity.”

The concept of creating multiple bypasses over a larger area of the canal to provide a further reduction in outflow resistance will be tested further, with longer follow-up and more controlled studies.

Gold Micro-Shunt

The “third way” pursued by drainage devices is the suprachoroidal way, “a very natural pathway of aqueous filtration, exploited by the Gold Micro-Shunt (Solo),” according to Gabriel Simón, MD, PhD, inventor of the device and director of the Gabriel Simón Institute in Madrid and Barcelona.

Rather than a tube, this implant is a tiny, 24-karat gold flat plate, containing multiple microchannels. Inserted through a 2.8-mm incision at the limbus, the shunt creates a bridge between the anterior chamber and the supraciliary space. The difference in pressure gradient between these two areas draws the aqueous from the anterior chamber through the microtubules of the implant, lowering IOP without creating a bleb.

“The Gold Micro-Shunt can be used in conjunction with a special titanium-sapphire 790-nm laser (Solx), which is used to open the drainage channels,” Dr. Simón said.

At the time of implantation, not all of the channels are activated. Some are held in reserve and can be titrated at a later stage to increase outflow if needed.

This new technology has numerous advantages.

“First of all, it recaptures a natural outflow pathway. So you basically are dumping fluid to a place where it is supposed to go, like a cyclodialysis. There is no bleb, which is a reservoir created in an unnatural place, leading to a great deal of complications. Second, you can modulate the flow. Profiting from the fact that you are working on a transparent tissue as the cornea, you can visualize the front of the shunt that is exposed to the anterior chamber and then, with the laser, you can open new channels,” Dr. Simón said.

These postoperative adjustments allow a modulation of the target pressure according to the individual response of the patient and to the stage of disease progression.

“Treating glaucoma is not just a matter of lowering the pressure. It’s lowering the pressure to the point you need. This technology gives us this new possibility,” he said.

Another considerable advantage of the Gold Micro-Shunt is that it is an easy surgical procedure, which can be performed in about 10 minutes under topical anesthesia.

From the clinical point of view, results are “astonishing,” according to Dr. Simón, who has personally implanted more than 300 Gold Micro-Shunt devices.

“I am not saying that we have achieved the perfect product, but I think we are heading to perfection. Although there are some cases in which the shunt doesn’t work as well as we would like, we have some idea of why this happens,” he said. “On the other hand, we have a big number of cases, more than 70%, in which we achieve the pressure we want, and we are talking about very aggressive glaucoma, where all other ways of lowering pressure have been tried and failed.” — by Michela Cimberle
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